₽ ·,	Case	2:12-cv-06018-CAS-PJW Document 1 Filed 07/1	The state of the s		
	6		FILED		
	1 2 3 4 5	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP David W. Quinto (Bar No. 106232) davidquinto@quinnemanuel.com Christopher Tayback (Bar No. 145532) christayback@quinnemanuel.com Ian S. Shelton ((Bar No. 264863) ianshelton@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	2012 JUL 12 PM 3: 00 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES		
	6 7	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100			
	8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Sae-A Trading America Corporation			
	9				
,	10	UNITED STATES DISTI	RICT COURT		
	11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA		
	12	11			
(13	SAE-A TRADING AMERICA CORPORATION, a New York Corporation,	CENA2-6018 CAS		
	14	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:		
	15	vs.	1. DECLARATORY RELIEF;		
* 4	16 17	UNIVERSAL SURFACE TECHNOLOGY,	AND 2. FRAUD ON THE		
	1/	LINC, doing business as TRENDV	COPYRIGHT OFFICE		
	18	EMBELLISHMENT, a California			
	18 19	INC. doing business as TRENDY EMBELLISHMENT, a California corporation; KWAN BYUNG LEE, a/k/a KWAN LEE, an individual; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,			
	18 19 20	EMBELLISHMENT, a California corporation; KWAN BYUNG LEE, a/k/a KWAN LEE, an individual; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants.			
	17	unough 10, inclusive,			
	20	unough 10, inclusive,			
	20 21	unough 10, inclusive,			
	20 21 22	unough 10, inclusive,			
	20 21 22 23 24 25	unough 10, inclusive,			
	20 21 22 23 24 25 26	unough 10, inclusive,			
	20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	unough 10, inclusive,			
04312.23400/4	20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	unough 10, inclusive,			

10, inclusive, plaintiff Sae-A Trading America Corporation ("Sae-A America") alleges as follows:

Nature of Complaint

as Trendy Embellishment ("UST"), Kwan Byung Lee ("Lee") and Does 1 through

For its complaint against Universal Surface Technology, Inc. doing business

- 1. The claims alleged herein were brought earlier as a cross-complaint in Universal Surface Technology, Inc. v. Sae-A Trading America Corp., et al.,
 No. CV10-6972 CAS (PJWx), filed September 20, 2010. The claims alleged herein were dismissed without prejudice on December 7, 2011. Thereafter, thencounsel for UST and Lee agreed to resolve the parties' dispute through an assignment of the copyrights at issue to plaintiff. However, the assignment was never executed and UST and Lee retained new counsel who refused to go forward with the assignment, thus necessitating this action.
- 2. In 2008, UST's sole principal, Lee, negotiated an agreement between UST and Sae-A Trading Co., Ltd. ("Sae-A Korea") to operate a garment printing factory in Guatemala (the "Factory") to be owned by Glovia, S.A. ("Glovia"). Pursuant to the terms of their agreement, Lee was appointed as Glovia's General Manager and Legal Representative and was responsible, among other things, for providing Glovia's management team and factory personnel. Beginning in February 2009, Glovia, operating under Lee's direction and control, produced printed garments for sale by retail store chains in the United States. The garments were printed with patterns developed by persons who were compensated by Glovia or, in a few instances, were created by Sae-A customers and modified by persons compensated by Glovia. In no instance were the patterns created by Lee. Lee nevertheless registered U.S. claims of copyright ownership in all such patterns and purported to assign the copyright registrations to UST.
- 3. The patterns were the property of Glovia. They were typically created by independent contractors working under the direction and control of Lee, while

04312.23400/4849451.1

Lee and UST were responsible for operating Glovia. Further, Lee caused UST to send invoices to Glovia for the independent contractors' services, and caused Glovia to pay those invoices. In some instances, patterns were created by UST employees, whose time was separately accounted for and billed to Glovia. At Lee's direction, Glovia paid those invoices. When Lee later registered the claims of copyright in the patterns with the United States Copyright Office, he falsely represented that he was the "author" of each and every such pattern, notwithstanding that he had authored none of them. All the copyrighted patterns were "works for hire" owned by Glovia.

4. Because Glovia has assigned the copyrights it owned to Sae-A America, Inc., Sae-A America seeks declaratory relief that it is the true owner of the copyrights and seeks to recover for the fraud on the Copyright Office committed by UST and Lee.

Parties

- 5. Plaintiff Sae-A America is a New York corporation having its principal place of business in New York, New York.
- 6. Defendant UST is a California corporation that at all times relevant hereto had its principal place of business in Los Angeles, California.
- 7. Defendant Lee is an individual who resides in Los Angeles County, in the State of California.
- 8. Defendants Does 1 through 10, inclusive are sued herein under fictitious names, their true names and capacities being presently to known to Sae-A America. Sae-A America will seek leave of court to amend this complaint to allege the true names and capacities of Does 1-10 when the same are ascertained. Sae-A America is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that each such fictitiously named counterclaim defendant is responsible in some manner for the

04312.23400/4849451.1 occurrences alleged herein and for the damages incurred by Sae-A America as a result thereof.

9. Sae-A America is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, UST, Lee, and Does 1-10 were the duly authorized agents, employees, joint venturers, or subcontractors of one another and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, were acting at all times within the course and scope of their agency, employment, or joint venture.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 10. This action presents questions arising under the Copyright Act of 1976, Title 17 of United States Code. Jurisdiction is conferred upon the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a) and 1338. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 11. Venue lies in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 in that the defendants reside in this District and in that a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the complaint occurred in this District.

Facts Common to All Claims

- 12. Sae-A America generates sales of garments manufactured by Sae-A Korea or its affiliates. Glovia is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sae-A Korea that printed and/or embellished plain garments through the use of silk-screen printing and sublimation printing techniques while under the direction and control of Lee and UST.
- 13. In or about May 2008, UST's principal, Lee, met with representatives of Sae-A Korea and held himself out as an experienced high-end garment printing and embellishment specialist. At the time, Sae-A Korea was (and is today) a large garment manufacturer based in the Republic of Korea (South Korea) having subsidiaries in various countries engaged in the garment manufacturing business.

Lee promised to Sae-A Korea that he could develop a profitable high-end garment printing and embellishment business.

- 14. In June 2008, Lee and Sae-A Korea entered into an oral agreement pursuant to which Sae-A Korea was obligated to provide and equip a factory in Guatemala to conduct a garment printing and embellishing business and was additionally obligated to provide a \$5,000,000 start-up loan. In turn, Lee and UST agreed to be responsible for the operations of the business; provide copyrightable fabric patterns for it to print; provide know-how, expertise and management skills to operate the factory profitably; supply or hire and train appropriate personnel to conduct the printing and embellishment services under their supervision; and repay the \$5,000,000 start-up loan over a period of five years, at which time ownership of the factor would pass to UST. The parties also agreed that although Sae-A Korea would own all the stock in Glovia but UST, a company wholly-owned by Lee, would be allowed to keep all profits earned by Glovia. In that manner, Lee and Sae-A Korea anticipated that Lee, through his company, UST, would be able to repay the \$5,000,000 start-up loan.
- 15. In July 2008, Lee and Sae-A Korea entered into an "Agreement for Investment in Establishment and Operation of a Factory" (the "Investment Agreement"), in part to memorialize the terms under which Sae-A Korea was providing the \$5,000,000 start-up loan. The Investment Agreement specified that UST would be responsible for purchasing and installing machinery and equipment, acquiring needed materials, and outfitting and operating the Glovia factory. Pursuant to the Investment Agreement, Lee and UST were required to provide the personnel and technology necessary to operate the Glovia factory, including designers, factory technicians, and others. Lee and UST were also obligated to assume sole responsibility for operating the factory. As defendants alleged in the prior federal action:

Sae-A Korea ... purchased a factory building in Mix[]co, Guatemala which was thereafter called "Glovia" [UST], with funds provided by Sae-A Korea, purchased all of the manufacturing equipment, designed the floor plan, trained personnel, and supervised the construction of the Glovia factory from the ground up. In addition, [UST] hired and trained personnel in Los Angeles, teaching them the unique water-based printing manufacturing technologies at [UST's] Los Angeles plant, and then sent those employees from Los Angeles to the Glovia Factory in Guatemala in order to man[a]ge and oversee that manufacturing process, which [UST] supervised.

- 16. Lee and UST completed the Glovia factory in or about December 2008, and commenced production immediately thereafter.
- 17. Just as Sae-A Korea provided working capital to Glovia, UST and Lee were required to create patterns that could be applied to garments printed by Glovia.
- 18. Sae-A America and Ocen allege upon information and belief that the patterns created for and paid for by Glovia included, without limitation, the patterns registered pursuant to the following United States Certificates of Copyright Registration (also identified by their purported "Trendy" Embellishment design numbers assigned to them by UST): VAu 723-503 (Trendy Design 007); VAu1-002-537 (Trendy Design 061); VAu1-003-631 (Trendy Design 072); VAu1-003-595 (Trendy Design 073); VAu1-003-612 (Trendy Design 076); VAu1-003-619 (Trendy Design 078); VAu1-003-635 (Trendy Design 079); VAu1-020-991 (Trendy Design 080); VAu1-020-995 (Trendy Design 081); VAu1-020-994 (Trendy Design 083); VAu1-007-402 (Trendy Design 090); VAu998-244 (Trendy Design 097); VAu 998-234 (Trendy Design 098); VAu998-537 (Trendy Design 099); VAu 1-022-368 (Trendy Design 100); VAu 1-022-355 (Trendy Design 106); VAu 1-022-351 (Trendy Design 107); VAu 1-022-349 (Trendy Design 108); VAu 1-022-348 (Trendy Design 109); VAu 1-022-342 (Trendy Design 110); VAu 1-022-341 (Trendy Design 111); VAu 1-018-247 (Trendy Design 112); VAu 1-022-945 (Trendy Design 115); VAu 1-022-931 (Trendy Design 116); VAu 1-022-919

```
(Trendy Design 117); VAu 1-022-952 (Trendy Design 118); VAu 1-032-359
 1
 2
    (Trendy Design 126); VAu 1-032-371 (Trendy Design 127); VAu 1-032-309
    (Trendy Design 128); VAu 1-032-307 (Trendy Design 129); VAu 1-032-348
 3
    (Trendy Design 130); VAu 1-032-368 (Trendy Design 131); VAu 1-032-342
 4
    (Trendy Design 132); VAu 1-032-317 (Trendy Design 133); VAu 1-032-321
 5
    (Trendy Design 134); VAu 1-032-319 (Trendy Design 135); VAu 1-032-303
 6
    (Trendy Design 136); VAu 1-032-301 (Trendy Design 137); VAu 1-032-300
 7
    (Trendy Design 138); VAu 1-03Z-298 (Trendy Design 139); VAu 1-032-297
 8
    (Trendy Design 140); VAu 1-032-293 (Trendy Design 141); VAu 1-032-295
 9
    (Trendy Design 142); VAu 1-032-291 (Trendy Design 143); VAu 1-032-289
10
    (Trendy Design 144); VAu 1-032-404 (Trendy Design 145); VAu 1-032-366
11
    (Trendy Design 146); VAu 1-032-364 (Trendy Design 147); VAu 1-032-362
12
    (Trendy Design 148); VAu 1-032-356 (Trendy Design 149); VAu 1-032-352
13
   (Trendy Design 150); VAu 1-032-375 (Trendy Design 151); VAu 1-032-370
14
15
    (Trendy Design 152); VAu 1-032-346 (Trendy Design 153); VAu 1-032-340
    (Trendy Design 154); VAu 1-032-497 (Trendy Design 155); VAu 1-032-458
16
    (Trendy Design 156); VAu 1-032-457 (Trendy Design 157); VAu 1-032-418
17
    (Trendy Design 158); VAu 1-032-417 (Trendy Design 159); VAu 1-032-475
18
   (Trendy Design 160); VAu 1-032-473 (Trendy Design 161); VAu 1-032-471
19
   (Trendy Design 162); VAu 1-032-468 (Trendy Design 163); VAu 1-032-462
20
   (Trendy Design 164); VAu 1-032-460 (Trendy Design 165); VAu 1-032-403
21
   (Trendy Design 166); VAu 1-032-416 (Trendy Design 167); VAu 1-032-412
22
23
   (Trendy Design 168); VAu 1-032-405 (Trendy Design 169); VAu 1-032-411
   (Trendy Design 170); VAu 1-032-410 (Trendy Design 171); VAu 1-032-495
24
   (Trendy Design 172); VAu 1-032-494 (Trendy Design 173); VAu 1-032-407
25
   (Trendy Design 174); VAu 1-032-413 (Trendy Design 175); VAu 1-032-477
26
   (Trendy Design 176); VAu 1-032-478 (Trendy Design 177); VAu 1-032-482
27
```

(Trendy Design 178); VAu 1-032-485 (Trendy Design 179); VAu 1-032-492 (Trendy Design 180); VAu 1-032-726 (Trendy Design 181-209) (collectively, the "Copyrights").

First Claim for Relief

(Declaratory Relief by Sae-A America against all Defendants)

- 19. Sae-A America repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 18, above, as though fully set forth at length.
- 20. Sae-A America claims ownership of the Copyrights pursuant to an assignment to Sae-A America by Glovia of its rights in the Copyrights.
- 21. An actual controversy has arisen between Sae-A America, on the one hand, and defendants, on the other hand, in that Sae-A America contends, and defendants deny, that the Copyrights are owned by it and not by UST.
- 22. Sae-A America desires a judicial determination of the respective rights of the parties with respect to the ownership of the Copyrights.
- 23. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate in order that Sae-A America may be apprised of their interest in the Copyrights and to avoid a multiplicity of actions.
- 24. Sae-A America is therefore entitled to a judicial declaration that it, and neither of the defendants, is the rightful owner of the Copyrights.

Second Claim for Relief

(Fraud on the Copyright Office by Sae-A America against UST and Lee)

- 25. Sae-A America repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 18 and 20 through 24, above, as though fully set forth at length.
- 26. The certificates of registration of the Copyrights identify "Kwan Lee" as the purported author of each. In fact, the Copyrights were created or modified for Glovia by UST's employees or by independent contractors who, in each case

04312.23400/4849451.1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the

CENTRAL	District of	CALIFORNIA	
SAE-A TRADING AMERICA CORPORATION, a New York Corporation)		
Plaintiff)		
V. UNIVERSAL SURFACE TECHNOLOGY, INC. DBA TRENDY EMBELLISHMENT a California corporation, see attach) Civ	il Action 6.V12-60	118

Defendant

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

UNIVERSAL SURFACE TECHNOLOGY, INC., doing business as TRENDY EMBELLISHMENT, a California corporation; KWAN BYUNG LEE, a/k/a KWAN LEE, an individual

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

UNIVERSAL SURFACE TECHNOLOGY, INC. DBA TRENDY EMBELLISHMENT, a California corporation,

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

		CLERK OF COURT
	JUL 1 2 2012	Marite Allen
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk
		\ ,

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION CONTINUATION SHEET OF DEFENDANTS

KWAN BYUNG LEE, a/k/a	
KWAN LEE, an individual; and	
DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	
,	;
Defendants.	
2 of official control of the control	;
	:

TED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself [DEFENDANTS SAE-A TRADING AMERICA CORPORATIO, a New UNIVERSAL SURFACE TECHNOLOGY, INC., dba York Corporation, TRENDY EMELLISHMENT, a Caifornia corporation; KWAN BYUNG LEE, aka KWAN LEE, ET AL. (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing Attorneys (If Known) yourself, provide same.) Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP David W. Quinto; Christopher Tayback Ian S. Shelton S. Figueroa St, 10th Floor 865 Los Angeles, California 90017 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only III. (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF PTF DEF 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. 1 X 1 Incorporated or Principal Place Citizen of This State __ 4 X 4 Government Not a Party) of Business in this State Citizen of Another State X 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place X 5 5 2 U.S. Government Defendant X 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Business in Another State of Parties in Item III) Citizen or Subject of a 3 Foreign Nation □ 6 □ 6 Foreign Country IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) X 1 Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from another district 6 Multi-☐ 7 Appeal to District Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened (specify): District Judge from Litigation Magistrate Judge REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes No (Check 'Yes' only if demanded in complaint.) CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes X No MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$ CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) 28 U.S.C. Sections 1332 and 1338 NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.) OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT TORTS • TORTS PRISONER LABOR --PERSONALINJURY PERSONAL -PETITIONS 400 State Reapportionment 110 Insurance 710 Fair Labor PROPERTY -410 Antitrust 120 Marine 310 Airplane ☐ 510 Motions to Standards Act 430 Banks and Banking 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product 370 Other Fraud 720 Labor/Mgmt. Vacate Sentence 450 Commerce/ICC 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 371 Truth in Lending Habeas Corpus Relations Rates/etc. 150 Recovery of 320 Assault, Libel & 730 Labor/Mgmt. 380 Other Personal 530 General 3460 Deportation Overpayment & Slander Property Damage Reporting & 535 Death Penalty 470 Racketeer Influenced Enforcement of 330 Fed. Employers' Disclosure Act 385 Property Damage 540 Mandamus/ Judgment and Corrupt Liability 740 Railway Labor Act Product Liability Other Organizations 340 Marine 151 Medicare Act 550 Civil Rights 790 Other Labor J480 Consumer Credit 345 Marine Product BANKRUPTCY 152 Recovery of Defaulted 555 Prison Condition Litigation Liability 490 Cable/Sat TV Student Loan (Excl. 422 Appeal 28 USC FORFEITURE/ PENALTY 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 350 Motor Vehicle 810 Selective Service Veterans) 158 355 Motor Vehicle 423 Withdrawal 28 Security Act 850 Securities/Commodities/ 153 Recovery of Product Liability USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS Exchange Overpayment of 610 Agriculture PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 360 Other Personal Veteran's Benefits 620 Other Food & X 820 Copyrights 875 Customer Challenge 12 Injury 441 Voting USC 3410 160 Stockholders' Suits Drug 830 Patent 362 Personal Injury-442 Employment 190 Other Contract 625 Drug Related 340 Trademark 890 Other Statutory Actions Med Malpractice 443 Housing/Acco-Seizure of 195 Contract Product 891 Agricultural Act mmodations Property 21 USC 892 Economic Stabilization Liability 861 HIA (1395ff) Product Liability 444 Welfare 881 862 Black Lung (923) 196 Franchise Act 368 Asbestos Personal 445 American with 630 Liquor Laws 863 DIWC/DIWW 」893 Environmental Matters SREAL PROPERTY. Injury Product Disabilities -640 R.R. & Truck (405(g))2894 Energy Allocation Act 210 Land Condemnation Liability Employment 650 Airline Regs 864 SSID Title XVI 895 Freedom of Info. Act 220 Foreclosure STIMINIGRATION 446 American with 865 RSI (405(g)) 660 Occupational J900 Appeal of Fee Determi-230 Rent Lease & Ejectment Disabilities -462 Naturalization Safety/Health FEDERAL BAXISTITS nation Under Equal 240 Torts to Land Other Application ☐ 690 Other 870 Taxes (U.S. Access to Justice 245 Tort Product Liability 440 Other Civil ☐950 Constitutionality of Plaintiff or ∠290 All Other Real Property Alien Detainee Rights Defendant) State Statutes 465 Other Immigration 871 IRS - Third Party Actions 26 USC 7609 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

Case 2:12-cv-06018-CAS-PJW Document 1 Filed 07/12/12 Page 12 of 14 Page ID #:20

Case 2:12-cv-06018-CAS-PJW Document 1 Filed 07/12/12 Page 13 of 14 Page ID #:21

UNITED STATES LISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

If yes, list case number(s):	CV - 10-69	been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? No X Yes 72 CAS (PJWx) (Cross-Complaint)		
		een previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? No x Yes		
Civil cases are deemed related (Check all boxes that apply)	X A. Arise X B. Call for C. For ot			
(a) List the County in this Dist Check here if the govern	ng the following int trict; California Cou	formation, use an additional sheet if necessary.) Introduction of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).		
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country New York - Sae-A Trading America Corporation		
(b) List the County in this Dist Check here if the govern	rict; California Cou nment, its agencies	unty outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).		
County in this District:* Los Angeles Cou Technology, Inc				
(c) List the County in this Dist Note: In land condemn County in this District:*	rict; California Cou ation cases, use th	e location of the tract of land involved.		
Los Angeles Cou		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Be Note: In land condemnation case	ernardino, Riversies, use the location	de, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties of the tract of land involved		
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORN	EY (OR PRO PER)	DAVID W. QUINTO Date July 12, 2012		
or other papers as required by	riavr, lills lulill, al	Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed coose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)		
Key to Statistical codes relating				
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action		
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Christina A. Snyder and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Victor B. Kenton.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 6018 CAS (VBKx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related	motions should b	pe noticed on	the calendar	of the Magistrate	Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501
---	---	--

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.